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Chiral fluid

\[ n_R - n_L \neq 0, \; B \neq 0 \]

\[ \mu_A = (\mu_R - \mu_L)/2 \neq 0 \]

\[ \mu_V = (\mu_R + \mu_L)/2 \]
Anomaly-induced transports in a magnetic OR vortex field

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    j_V^\mu \\
    j_A^\mu
\end{pmatrix}
= C_A \begin{pmatrix}
    q_f \mu_A \\
    q_f \mu_V
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
    \frac{\mu_V \mu_A}{2} \\
    \left( \mu_V^2 + \mu_A^2 \right)/2 + \frac{C_A^{-1} T^2}{12}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
    B^\mu \\
    \omega^\mu
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[B^\mu = \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} u_\nu, \quad \omega^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\alpha \partial_\beta u_\gamma\]

Non-dissipative transport phenomena with time-reversal even and nonrenormalizable coefficients.

Anomaly relation: \[\partial_\mu j_A^\mu = q_f^2 C_A E \cdot B\]

\[C_A = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\]

Cf., An interplay between the B and ω leads to a new nonrenormalizable transport coefficient for the magneto-vorticity coupling.

Low-energy effective theory of the chiral fluid in a dynamical magnetic field

Chiral magnetohydrodynamics (Chiral MHD, or anomalous MHD)
Strong magnetic fields
induced by relativistic heavy-ion collisions

\[ Z \sim 80, \quad v > 0.99999 \, c, \quad \text{Length scale} \sim 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \]

One can study the interplay btw QCD and QED.
Besides,

- Weyl & Dirac semimetals
- Strong B field by lattice QCD simulations
- Neutron stars/magnetars
- High intensity laser fields
- Cosmology
Plan for the rest of talk

1. **Formulation** of the chiral magnetohydrodynamics (chiral MHD)
   --- Finite chirality imbalance \((n_R \neq n_L)\)
   --- Dynamical magnetic field

2. **Collective excitations** with the linear analysis wrt \(\delta v\) and \(\delta B\).
   (MHD has a fluctuation of dynamical magnetic field \(\delta B\).)

3. Summary
Formulating the chiral MHD
Anomalous hydrodynamics
in STRONG & DYNAMICAL magnetic fields

-- Anomalous hydrodynamics
  \( \mu_A \neq 0, \quad B \sim \mathcal{O}(\partial A) \) and external

Son & Surowka

-- Anomalous magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)
  \( \mu_A \neq 0, \quad B \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \)
  and dynamical

This work.

Slow variables in chiral MHD:
\{ \epsilon, \, u^\mu, \, B^\mu, \, and \, n_A \}

\( n_A \): # density of axial charge
Neutral plasma (\( n_V = 0 \))
No E-field in the global equilibrium

EoMs:
\[
\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{fluid}+\text{EM}} = 0,
\partial_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0,
\partial_\mu j^\mu_A = -C_A E^\mu B_\mu.
\]
\( C_A = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \)
Constitutive eqs. in the ideal order (zeroth order in derivative)

\[ T_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^\mu u^\nu - X \Delta^{\mu\nu} - Y B^\mu B^\nu \]

\[ \tilde{F}_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} = B^\mu u^\nu - B^\nu u^\mu \]

\[ J_A(0) = n_A u^\mu \]

\[ \Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu \quad (u_\mu \Delta^{\mu\nu} = 0) \]

E-field is first order.

\[ (\mu u^\nu) \text{ is absent in } T^{\mu\nu} \text{ when } n_V = 0. \]

From EoM + thermodynamic relation

\[ ds = \frac{1}{T} (d\epsilon - \mu_A dn_A - H_\mu dB^\mu) \]

\[ \partial_\mu (su^\mu) = u \cdot \partial s + s \partial \cdot u \]

\[ = (p - X) \partial \cdot u + (H^\mu - Y B^\mu) B \cdot \partial u_\mu \]

\[ = 0 \quad \text{for the ideal part.} \]

Therefore,

\[ T_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^\mu u^\nu - p \Delta^{\mu\nu} - \mu^{-1} B^\mu B^\nu \]

\( \epsilon \) and \( p \) are the total (fluid+magnetic) energy and pressure.

Reproduces the “ideal MHD” when \( \epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{fluid}} + \frac{1}{2} B^2 \).
Constitutive eqs. and the entropy generation in the first order

\[ T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} + T^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} \]
\[ \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\alpha E^{(1)\beta} \]
\[ j_\Lambda^\mu = j_\Lambda^\mu_{(0)} + j_\Lambda^\mu_{(1)} \]

Note that \( \partial_\mu j_\Lambda^\mu = -C_A E^{\mu}_{(1)} B_\mu \).

The zeroth order term \( T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} \) reproduces the ideal MHD.

\[ T^{\mu\nu}_{(1)}, E^{\mu}_{(1)}, j_\Lambda^\mu_{(1)} \sim \mathcal{O}(\partial^1) \]

The second law of the thermodynamics \( \partial_\mu (su^\mu) \geq 0 \) constrains possible first-order corrections.

Computing the entropy current,

\[ \partial_\mu \left( su^\mu + \mathcal{O}(\partial^1) \right) = T^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} \partial_\mu (\beta u_\nu) - j_\Lambda^\mu_{(1)} \partial_\mu (\beta \mu_\Lambda) \]
\[ + E^{\mu}_{(1)} \{ \mu_\Lambda C_A B_\mu - \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u^\nu \partial^\alpha (\beta H^\beta) \} \]
\[ = E^{\mu}_{(1)} X_{\mu\nu} E^{\nu}_{(1)}, \text{ for example.} \]
Insuring the semi-positivity with bilinear forms

Positivity is insured by a bilinear form: \[ E^{\mu}_{(1)} X_{\mu \nu} E^{\nu}_{(1)} \geq 0 \]

\[ X_{\mu \nu} = \sigma_{\parallel} b_\mu b_\nu - \sigma_\perp (g_{\mu \nu} - u_\mu u_\nu + b_\mu b_\nu) - \sigma_{\text{Hall}} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} u^\alpha b^\beta \]

\[ b^\mu = -B^\mu / B^2 \] breaks a spatial rotational symmetry.

\[ \sigma_{\parallel, \perp} \geq 0, \text{ but } \sigma_{\text{Hall}} \propto \mu_\nu. \]

Therefore, we get a “constitutive eq.” of the E-field:

\[ E^{\mu}_{(1)} = X^{-1 \mu \rho} \{ \mu_A C_A B_\rho - \epsilon_{\rho \nu \alpha \beta} u^\nu \partial^\alpha (\beta H^\beta) \} \]

Similarly, \( T^{\mu \nu}_{(1)} \partial_\mu (\beta u_\nu) \geq 0 \) provides 5 dissipative and 2 non-dissipative (Hall) viscous coefficients.

\( -j^{\mu}_{A(1)} \partial_\mu (\beta \mu_A) \geq 0 \) 3 diffusion coefficients
Conductivities: CME and dissipative terms

From the constitutive eq. of $E^{(1)}_\mu$ and the Maxwell eq.,

$$J^\mu_V = C_A \mu_A B^\mu + \left[\sigma_\parallel E^\mu_\parallel + \sigma_\perp E^\mu_\perp + \sigma_{\text{Hall}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu b_\alpha E_\beta\right] + \cdots$$

The CME current is completely fixed by $C_A$, and is necessary for insuring the semi-positive entropy production.

The CME has the universal form in the MHD regime as well.

There appear the longitudinal and transverse Ohmic conductivities due to the breaking of the rotational symmetry.
Conductivities and viscosities in strong B fields

In the LLL, charged fermions transport charges and momenta only along the B.

→ Effective dimensional reduction to (1+1) D in the fermion sector.

Longitudinal conductivity
KH, S.Li, D.Satow, H.-U. Yee, 1610.06839 [hep-ph];
KH, D.Satow, 1610.06818 [hep-ph].

Cf., Landau-level resummation, Fukushima, Hidaka.

Longitudinal bulk viscosity
KH, X.-G.Huang, D.Satow, D.Rischke, 1708.00515 [hep-ph].

Strong B
“Mismatched dimensions”
Quarks live in (1+1) D
Gluons live in (3+1) D
Phases of the collective excitations and instabilities from a linear analysis
Collective excitations in MHD without anomaly

2 transverse waves (Alfven waves)
4 longitudinal waves (fast and slow magneto-sonic waves)

* Magnetic lines move together with the fluid volume.

Tension of B-field $\rightarrow$ Restoring force
Fluid energy (mass) density $\rightarrow$ Inertia

Transverse Alfven wave

Oscillation
0. Stationary solutions
\[ u^\mu = (1, 0), \quad B^\mu = (0, B_0), \quad j^\mu = (0, 0) \]

1. Transverse perturbations
\[ \mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{v} + \delta \mathbf{v} \]
\[ B_0 \rightarrow B_0 + \delta B \]

Linearize the set of hydrodynamic eqs. with respect to the perturbation.

2. Wave equation
\[ \partial_t^2 \delta \mathbf{B}(t, z) = \frac{B_0^2}{\epsilon + \rho} \partial_z^2 \delta \mathbf{B}(t, z) \]

Alfven wave velocity

Same wave equation for \( \delta v \)

\( \rightarrow \) Fluctuations of B and v propagate together.
How does the CME change the hydrodynamic waves in chiral fluid?

--- Drastic changes by only one term in the current

\[ j^\mu = \sigma_{\text{CME}} B^\mu \]
Eigenmodes of chiral MHD

\[ \psi^T = (c_s, \delta \tilde{\epsilon}_f, \delta v_1, \delta v_2, \delta v_3, \delta b_1, \delta b_2) \]

6 degrees of freedom

\[ M \psi = V \psi \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = V k \]

6 \times 6 matrix from the linearized EoMs

\[ M = M_0 + \epsilon_A M_A \]

When \( \mu_A = 0 \), we have \( M = M_0 \).

The solutions reproduce the Alfven and magneto-sonic waves in MHD.

Eigenvalues \( V \): Dispersion relations

Eigenvectors \( \psi \): Polarizations

\[ \epsilon \ (1 \ d.o.f.) \]
\[ \nu \ (3 \ d.o.f.) \]
\[ B \ (\nabla \cdot B = 0) \ (2 \ d.o.f.) \]

\[ \epsilon_A = \frac{\sigma_{\text{CME}}}{\sigma} \]

\( M_A \): Modification by a finite \( \mu_A \)
"Phase diagram" of the eigenmodes

Secular eq. is a cubic eq. of $\omega^2$

--- 3 modes propagating in the opposite directions (6 solutions in total)

$$(\omega^2 - x_1)(\omega^4 + b\omega^2 + c) = 0$$

$x_1$: Real solution

Stability of the waves from classification of solutions

1 real and 2 pure imag. sols.
1 real and 2 complex sols.
3 real solutions

Alfven and magneto-sonic waves
Dispersion relations of the waves

There is a pair of modes (green) which are stable in any phase. [Will not be focused hereafter.]
Dispersion relations of the waves

Real part of $V$

![Graph showing the real part of $V$](image)

Imaginary part of $V$

![Graph showing the imaginary part of $V$](image)
Dispersion relations of the waves

Real part of V

 Imaginary part of V

\[ \frac{C_A \mu_A}{\sigma u_A} \]

Small \( \mu_A \)

Larger \( \mu_A \)
Polarizations on the Poincare sphere with a varying $\mu_A$

Equator: Linear polarizations

Upper and lower hemispheres: R and L polarizations

(Poles: R and L circular polarizations)

The unstable modes have helical nature.

Stokes vector

$$\frac{v_1}{v_2} = \frac{b_1}{b_2} = \frac{\epsilon_A V}{u_A^2 \cos \theta - V^2}$$

$$s = \left( b_1^2 - b_2^2, 2 \text{Re} [b_1 b_2^*], 2 \text{Im} [b_1 b_2^*] \right) \over b_1^2 + b_2^2$$
New hydrodynamic instability in a chiral fluid

Helicity decomposition
(Circular R/L polarizations)
\[ \nabla \times e_{R/L} = \pm e_{R/L} \]

Signs of the imaginary parts
(Damping/growing modes in the hydrodynamic time evolution)
- Positive (Damping)
- Negative (Growing)

When \( \mu_A > 0 \)
- LH mode
- RH mode

When \( \mu_A < 0 \)

A helicity selection, depending on the sign of \( \mu_A \).
Helicity conversions as the topological origin of the instability

Difference btw the # of R and L fermions: "Chiral imbalance" $n_R - n_L$  

Chiral Plasma Instability (CPI)  
Akamatsu & Yamamoto

Flux helicity $\int_V d^3x B \cdot A$

Real-time & beyond-linear analysis demanded. Hirono

$\int_V d^3x \omega \cdot v_{\text{fluid}}$

Fluid helicity (structures of vortex strings)
Summary

Formulation
Second law of thermodynamics determines the form of the CME current, reproducing the universal form. Stay tuned for a microscopic derivation of MHD. Hongo & KH

Phases of the collective excitations and instabilities
The CME drastically changes the time evolution of the chiral fluid in a B-field.
- Chiral fluid is not stable against a small perturbation on v and B.
- One of the helicities is strongly favored against the other due to a finite $\mu A$. 

\[ \delta B, \delta v \]
Backup slides
Hydrodynamic variables when \( \mu V = 0 \)

\[
\partial_t n_V = -\nabla \cdot j_V = -\sigma \nabla \cdot E = -\sigma n_V
\]

\[
\partial_\mu j^\mu_V = 0 \quad \text{Ohm’s law} \quad \text{Gauss’s law}
\]

\[n_V = n(t = 0) \exp(-\sigma t)\]

Therefore, when \( t \gtrsim 1/\sigma \), \( n_V \sim 0 \).

\[
E = \frac{1}{\sigma} J \rightarrow 0
\]

\( E^\mu \) in the rest frame is damped out quickly in a highly conducting plasma.

We work in the world after the E-field is damped.

\[
E^\mu \sim \mathcal{O}(\partial^1), \text{ and is given by a function of the hydrodynamic variables, a “constitutive equation.”}
\]
Estimate of the relaxation time of $n_A$

Steady state: $J_{\text{Ohm}} = J_{\text{CME}}$

$$ E^{\mu} = \frac{C_A \mu_A}{\sigma} B^{\mu} $$

$$ \partial_t n_A = -\frac{C_A^2 (-B^2)}{\sigma} \mu_A $$

$$ \tau_A = (\sigma \chi) / \left[ C_A^2 (-B^2) \right] $$

$$ \chi = (\partial n_A / \partial \mu_A) $$

(Relaxation time of $E \sim 1/\sigma$) $\ll$ (Our time scale) $\ll$ (Relaxation time of $n_A \sim \sigma$)

The window is wider for a larger $\sigma$. 
Collective excitations in chiral MHD

\[ M\psi = V\psi \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = V k \]
\[ \psi^T = (c_s \delta \tilde{e}_f, \delta v_L, \delta v_2, \delta b_2, \delta v_1, \delta b_1) \]

\[ M = M_0 + \epsilon_A M_A \]

\[ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & c_s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_s & 0 & 0 & -u_A \sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -u_A \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -u_A \sin \theta & -u_A \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_A \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_A \cos \theta \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad M_A = \frac{1}{u_A} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix} \]

When \( u_A \ll 1 \),

\[
(w - \cos^2 \theta) \left[ w^2 - \{1 + (c_s/u_A)^2\}w + (c_s/u_A)^2 \cos^2 \theta \right] + (\epsilon_A/u_A)^2 w \{ w - (c_s/u_A)^2 \} = 0
\]

\[ w \equiv V^2/u_A^2 \]

Alfven wave, fast and slow magneto-sonic waves, when \( \epsilon_A = 0 \).
Dotted: Without anomaly effects
[Alfven (red), fast sonic (blue), slow sonic (green)]

Solid: With anomaly effects which mix the waves