Ubiquity of planets and diversity of planetary systems: Origin and Destiny of multiple super Earths and gas giants.

> Douglas N.C. Lin Astronomy (UCSC), KIAA (PKU), IAS (THU)

Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Department of Physics, National University of Taiwan Dec 2, 2014



69 slides



The unknown unknowns



Radial velocity





Femtometer Doppler shift In individual lines









Precision COSMOGONY

- Ubiquity of planets:
 case study vs Science
- Diversity of systems: realm of possibilities
- Population census
 missing info & big picture
- Solar system connection
 Anthropic principle 5/69



Transit (eclipse) searches



JD — T (days)





Observed Properties of Extrasolar Planets Howard (2013)



Conventional core accretion scenario



Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Gas accretion barrier: critical-mass cores (Cameron)
- Retention of cores: type I migration (Goldreich & Tremaine, Ward)
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Step I: Meter-barrier Hydrodynamic drag on dusts



Small grains are swept along by the gas, but those larger than a millimeter experience a drag force and spiral in. 2



2-4 AU

Protosun

At the snow line, local conditions are 3 such that the drag force reverses direction. Grains tend to accumulate and readily coagulate into larger bodies called planetesimals.



Dust spirals Inward

gas and dust

12/69 Zhang Yuan

Trapping locations: transition fronts and wall of magnetospheric cavity





(PSRD graphic by Nancy Hulbirt, based on a conceptual drawing by Edward Scott, Univ. of Hawaii.)





Lecar asteroid 4417

Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Gas accretion barrier: critical-mass cores (Cameron)
- Retention of cores: type I migration (Goldreich & Tremaine, Ward)
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Planetesimal growth in a trap



15/69

107

107

Stalling of planets inside & at the magnetospheric truncation radius







Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Gas accretion barrier: critical-mass cores (Cameron)
- Retention of embryos: type I migration (Goldreich & Tremaine, Ward)
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Step III, oligarchic barrier: Isolation mass



Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Proliferation of multiple, wide spread embryos
- Diversity of planetary architecture
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Step IV, Embryos barrier: planetary migration Type I migration of super-Earth in isothermal disks



e.g. Goldreich & Tremaine (1980), Ward (1992) Masset (2001), Paadekooper, Baruteau, Kley Long-term evolution of the corotation torque is related to the disk viscosity Paardekooper, **Baruteau**,

20/69

Planet-disk tidal interaction

21/69

Total tidal torque:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma &= \Gamma_{\rm L} + \Gamma_{\rm c} &= \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{p},\mathsf{q},\mathsf{p}_{\rm v},\mathsf{q}_{\rm v},\mathsf{p}_{\rm \kappa}\,\mathsf{,q}_{\rm \kappa})\Gamma_{0} \\ \Gamma_{0} &= (q/h)^{2} \Sigma_{\rm p} r_{\rm p}^{4} \Omega_{\rm p}^{2}, \end{split}$$

p and q depend on disk structure & p_v,q_v,p_{\kappa'}, and q_{\kappa} also depend on m_p



$$\frac{dr}{dt} = f(p,q,p_{\nu},p_{\chi}) \frac{M_p}{M_*} \frac{\Sigma r^2}{M_*} \left(\frac{r\Omega_K}{c_s}\right)^2 r\Omega_K$$

 $(1/e)de/dt = (a/H)^4 (M_p \Sigma a^2/M_*^2) \Omega$



Resonant sweeping of planetesimals



Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Gas accretion barrier: critical-mass cores (Cameron)
- Retention of embryos: type I migration (Goldreich & Tremaine, Ward)
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Core barrier: embryos' resonant trapping



Loss of massive super-Earth embryos? 24/69

Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Gas accretion barrier: critical-mass cores (Cameron)
- Retention of cores: type I migration (Goldreich & Tremaine, Ward)
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Gas accretion barrier: Is there a threshold mass for gas accretion?



Bypass the resonance barrier



Orbit crossing, close encounters, home coming & collisions

Giant impacts of super Earths









Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Availability of building block material
- Frequency of planets around different type stars
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Dependence on stellar mass





Dependence on the disks' accretion rate



Dependence on the disks' accretion rate





- 1) Cores' migration speed is determined by the surface density of the disk gas.
- 2) Surface density of the disk gas is proportional to the gas accretion rate
- 3) Gas accretion is observed to increase with the host stars' mass.
- 4) Gas giants' frequency correlation with the host stars' mass is through mdot.



Planetary mass & size vs stellar metallicity



There is a strong correlation between η_{HJ} vs stellar metallicity.

Abundance of super Earths



There is no shortage of super Earths around metal-poor stars

Formation of super Earths Does not depend on Z or M



Dependence on metallicity



Fe/H=1

Fe/H=3

15

1.5

Migration in metal-rich disks



Importance of snow line





6 x 10⁻⁸M_o yr⁻¹

37/69

Major Challenges:

- Retention of grains: m-size barrier (Whipple)
- Fragmentation: km-size barrier (Benz)
- Planetesimal-growth barrier: Isolation mass barrier (Wetherill)
- Gas accretion barrier: critical-mass cores (Cameron)
- Retention of cores: type I migration (Goldreich & Tremaine, Ward)
- Retention of gas giants: type II migration (Lin & Papaloizou)
- Multiple gas giants: rapid depletion of disk gas
- Competing physics on multiple length & time scales

Giant impacts and mergers





Enhanced formation of multiple planets



XiaoJia Zhang





BeiBei Liu



40/69

Grand design barrier: dynamical instability

How did gas giants acquire their eccentricity?





II. Gap Formation

IV. Resonant Configuration



V. Inward Migration







√(e)





Dynamical diversity



42/69

Gas giants' type II migration







Core barrier: embryos' resonant trapping

• Long term evolution: largest cores formed early



 $\dot{M} = 1 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/yr \alpha = 10^{-2} \tau = 1 \times 10^{6} yrs$





 $\dot{\rm M}=3\times 10^{-7}~{\rm M}_\odot/{\rm yr}~\alpha=10^{-3}~\tau=3\times 10^5{\rm yrs}$



Period distribution of hot Jupiters: Dependence on stellar metallicity



45/69

Smoking gun for core accretion (KOI 94)



Planets' size-period distribution from the Kepler surveys









Type I migration with evolving disk

Radii(AU)

Transiting location move inward ➤ Mass region corresponds to outward decrease slightly

30

10

3

1

0.1

Mass $(M_{\rm R})$



Super Earths: some key issues

• How to differentiate type I and II migration?











Zhuoxiao Wang

New Candidate Catalog (Batalha et al. 2012) What can we learn from Multiple systems !!!



How compact can multiple systems be?

Stability and coplanarity Kevin Schlaufman Xiaojia Zheng 52/69







Super Earths: some key issues

• Did planets capture each other and parted their ways?



Fabricky

Exit resonances



diverse migration mechanisms



$$\tau_a = 4 \times 10^8 yr \left(\frac{\rho_p}{1 \ g/cm^3}\right) \left(\frac{r_p}{1 \ km}\right) \left(\frac{a}{1 \ AU}\right)^{3/2} (1-e^2)^{1/2}$$

Resonant capture Collisional damping Gas drag





55/69

RM effect and challenge to migration



Gas giants: some key issues

• Is there evidence for M_{*}-dependent tidal dissipation?



Misaligned magnetosphere



Observable tests



Lai, Foucart, & Lin



Figure 3. A toy model for understanding the origin of the warping torque. A tilted rotating metal plate (with angular momentum L) in an external magnetic field **B** experiences a vertical magnetic force around region 2 and 4 due to the interaction between the induced current **J** and the external \mathbf{B}_{\parallel} , resulting in a torque **N** which further increases the tilt angle β .

Similar effects in close-in planets: additional Torque and dissipation avenues Cumming & Lin 58/69

Alternative model: internal gravity wave



Gravity waves in intermediate-mass stars





Tami Rogers 60/69

Gas giants: some key issues

Is there evidence for internal differential rotation ?



Inside the stellar magnetosphere



Inside the super Earths



Super Earths' geology & atmosphere



Ohmic dissipation rate & Torque on the planet









64/69

Other issues

Late-stage evolution in debris disks Post formation dynamical evolution Non planar planetary systems Planets around different mass stars The role of elemental differentiation in natal disks Planets in binary stars Planets around stars in clusters Planets' magnetic and tidal interaction with their host stars Planets' consumption by their host stars Planets' survival around evolved stars Planets' internal structural evolution Planets' atmospheric dynamics How is habitability affected by dynamical interaction between planets

Updated version of population synthesis models



66/69



Summary

- Planet formation is a robust process and their dynamical architecture is diverse.
- Planetary origin and destiny are determined largely by the structure & evolution of the disks.
- Migration due to planet-disk interaction played a big role in the asymptotic properties of the planets.
- Theory of planetary astrophysics is relevant to many other astrophysical contexts.



Act Propulsion Laboratory | California Hatilute of Technology PLANET QUEST historic timeline

EXOPLANET 000 COUNT

There are infinite worlds both like and unlike this world of ours. We must believe that in all worlds there are living creatures and planets and other things we see in this world.



``there are infinite worlds both like and unlike this world of ours ..."

Epicurus